



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,116	02/18/2000	HIROSHI MIYAZAWA	0670-225	1535
31780 7.	590 04/23/2003			
ERIC ROBINSON			EXAMINER	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH			PATEL, GAUTAM	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	7
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/486,116

Applicant(s)

Miyazawa et al.

Examiner

Gautam R. Patel

Art Unit 2655



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 20, 2	003				
(2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . $(2b)$ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-36, 38-43, and 45-52</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6)  Claim(s)					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <i>1-36, 38-43, and 45-52</i>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:					
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)				

Application/Control Number: 09486,116: Page 2

Art Unit: 2655 Paper Number: 7

## Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-36, 38-43, 45-52 were elected **without traverse**, in paper no. 5, dated 3-20-03. However further restriction of these elected claims, 1-36, 38-43, 45-52 is required.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- A. Claims 1-9, 16, 18-32 are drawn to an apparatus of optical pickup, classified in Class 369, subclass 44.41.
- B. Claims 10-15, 17 and 41-42 are drawn to a method of manufacturing optical pickup, and method for adjusting distance between focus adjusting means & photodetector which is partially classified in Class 369, subclass 44.27.
- C. Claim 33 is drawn to a method of adjusting a rotary position of a light reflection element, classified in Class 369, subclass 112.29.
- D. Claims 34-40, 46 and 49 are drawn to a holder and shielding of the same, classified in Class 369, subclass 120.

Inventions A and B are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as

Application/Control Number: 09486,116:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2655 Paper Number: 7

claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with method of reproducing.

Inventions A and C are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with method of reproducing.

Inventions B and C are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method for manufacturing does not require a method for adjusting distance between focus adjusting means and photodetector. The subcombination has separate utility such as with adjusting with respect error detection.

Inventions A and D are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require a

Application/Control Number: 09486,116: Page 4

Art Unit: 2655 Paper Number: 7

an apparatus of holder and shielding. The subcombination has separate utility such as having holder with shielding.

Inventions B and D are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with method of adjusting distance.

Inventions C and D are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as with method of adjusting rotary position.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 2655 Paper Number: 7

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Eric J. Robinson on April 15, 2003; to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

NOTE: The Examiner was informed that Mr. Robinson was out of the office and no other Attorney was available to talk on this particular case at that time.

5. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

## **Contact information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Gautam R. Patel Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

April 15, 2003